

Columbia Heights City Council Action

"City of Peace" Update

The annual Art-A-Whirl festivities in northeast Minneapolis are coming again the weekend of May 15 and if you're not interested in art you might want to avoid the blockaded areas. No doubt a number of food and drink vendors will be out there hawking their wares. However, because a sizable number of regulars will avoid the area, one has to wonder whether government's claims of sudden riches for everyone is really true, or rather just more of the cockeyed math that government often uses.

The *Northeaster* paper dated April 8 had a letter from the organization that puts on the two-decade old festival, warning their "brand" has been trademarked. Columbia Heights city hall wants to glom onto this festival in hopes of shifting pots of gold into its territory.

In USA Today's travel section of March 6, the 'Northeast Minneapolis Arts District' was chosen the top winner of a "10 Best Readers' Choice Awards" for art districts. There was no in-depth reporting as to why the 20 newspaper-provided nominees around the country should be considered. Not hardly news, but rather light entertainment for some readers.

City councilor Donna Schmitt, with fulsome rhapsody, admitted at the council's televised meeting on March 9 that she had voted numerous times for Minneapolis. The irony of an elected official admitting, "I did vote several times" was not lost on us. Mayor Gary Peterson called this "an achievement". We're sure he meant Minneapolis' award, and not his colleague's cheating. Peterson said the city should "copy or partner with them." To think that such nonsense would be worthy of a newspaper's space and a city council's time is mind-boggling. (The *Northeaster* treated it as a front-page news story).

Century Link applies for cable TV franchise

Officials of Century Link (formerly Qwest) appeared at the city council's March 23 meeting to make an informational presentation; no formal action was taken by the council.

The company seeks a franchise agreement (contract) with the city in order to deliver television channels to homes via the public rights of way. They call their proprietary service "Prism", which delivers about the same number and types of television channels as Comcast does. Comcast is currently the sole provider of by-wire

video services in Columbia Heights and its franchise agreement runs to May 2020.

Minnesota statutes require a new cable franchise must have its system completely built-out within five years.

**Observations
from the Field**
Bryan Olson

Century Link's Public Policy Director Mary LaFave argued that the state law is trumped by the actions of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), which determined that such a provision "constitutes an un-permissible barrier to entry in competitive franchises."

LaFave said the company would work with the city council to set goals and timelines for the build-out of their system in the city, "as we are successful in the market."

Council questions included asking for a description of services that Century Link will provide compared to Comcast. The product would be virtually the same however there will be some differences in technical and functionality features. Century Link plans to offer 1 gigabyte internet speed to most areas in the future, and officials stated that the minimum speed needed to receive television channels will be 25 megabit service, and homes would have to upgrade to that speed to receive the Prism television packages.

City councilor John Murzyn Jr. asked if channels can be purchased by the consumer a la carte. The common reply to this long-time debate, has become a rote response of cable TV providers: the programming producers dictate how packages are bundled.

LaFave also said the local government channels would be carried as Comcast does. Councilor Donna Schmitt asked, "is there any benefit to (city hall) getting HD (high-definition) equipment, or is that even necessary?" Tyler Middleton, a vice president of Century Link, said that all the government channels would be sent through their system, regardless of whether they are HD channels or not. (See related article in the Feb. 20, 2015 *Anoka County Record*).

Does this city council really want to be seen in HD? No glamour would be found with the added detail. Schmitt should be more concerned that city meetings follow the Open Meeting Laws. Schmitt has said nothing about increasing government transparency

"CITY OF PEACE" UPDATE *cont. on page 2*

Bottoms up: Muni liquor stores take big losses in Minnesota

By Tom Steward | Watchdog Minnesota Bureau

Minnesota's municipal liquor stores already lost the battle to keep four Total Wine superstores out of Minnesota, but they can't afford to lose the war.

That conflict, the price war, costs some municipal liquor operations hundreds of thousands of dollars in profits that go to subsidizing city programs such as golf, art centers and ice arenas. Cities with liquor stores may have monopolies inside their boundaries, but Total Wine draws consumers that are looking for a deal from near and far. As competition picks up, cities scramble to cut prices and try new tactics that rile the mega discounter.

"They're the big bullies trying to pick on us. And we're just trying to fight back and it's all legal," said Paul Kaspszak, executive

LIQUOR *cont. on page 2*



MORE COMING: Total Wine & More co-owner David Trone says Minnesota will be getting several more of the superstores, in additional four outlets opened in the last year.

**ANOKA COUNTY RECORD
BANNED BY COLUMBIA
HEIGHTS LIBRARY**

by Bryan Olson — Special to the *Anoka County Record*

The Columbia Heights Library Board has decided not to allow distribution of the *Record* in the Columbia Heights library.

Put on the board's March 4th meeting agenda "at the request of a council member," explained Library Director Renee Dougherty, the board wondered why the public distribution of free materials policy needed another look. This reporter attended the meeting to represent the *Record* and explain that the paper was now the legal newspaper of Anoka County, where the county's public notices can be found.

After the board expressed no interest in simply amending the approved materials policy to allow another newspaper to be distributed, the *Record* presented to the library board state statute 325E.34, which addresses discrimination in the distribution of free materials in public buildings.

The library board tabled the matter to its next meeting April 1st. In the meantime, city staff and the city attorney deemed the statute as not applicable. The assistant city manager said the board should review the policy again once the new library opens. Why exactly a new building would have any bearing on the distribution of a newspaper is not understood by the *Record*.

The policy document, established some years ago, includes a specific list of material that is approved by the library board for free distribution to the public within the library facility. They include the *Northeaster* and *Focus* newspapers, Anoka County, city and state government publications, etc.

The county library system however has a policy of not allowing any free materials to be distributed in its libraries except government-produced material. This is why the public cannot stop at a county library and find bundles of free papers such as the *Blaine Banner* to take home with them.

Dougherty made vague remarks at the March meeting regarding the copies that the *Record* has been sending to the library for a number of years. During that meeting, the library board was shown that one copy of the *Record* was being made available for patron use in the newspaper rack. Once receiving a new edition, the previous one was thrown away.

Library Board member Steven Smith said he deemed this sufficient.

"CITY OF PEACE" UPDATE: Observations from the Field cont. from page 1

by televising more meetings. City councilor Bruce Nawrocki has brought this up many times over the years, never to find a second to the motion.

Mayor Gary Peterson summarized the cable franchise discussion this way: "I believe in competition, I think it's healthy."

Tap Rooms and Brew Pubs now allowed

Ordinance No. 1620 was passed by the council during the March 23 meeting, amending the city code to allow tap rooms (only pre-packaged food may be sold) and brew pubs (food is prepared on premises, restaurant-style). The main business of both is selling beer that is brewed on the premises. No applications for such businesses have been filed with city hall at this time. Details on zoning and licensing fees are yet to be determined.

"Predatory remodelers" — a new name for an old rip-off

Frost Simula, resident of 1700 49th Ave. N.E., reported at the March 9 meeting that the home he recently purchased looked fine but he found out the hard way that a quick, shoddy remodel job was underneath. The work had been done without a permit. The home inspector he hired did a poor job, he says, in not finding a lot of problems. The seller was a "house flipper" who buys houses and turns them around for quick resale after making cosmetic upgrades. Simula

asserts the workmanship was found to be so bad that he hasn't been able to use the entire house, and emergency efforts to correct some problems had to be made just to keep city inspectors from padlocking the house as not habitable. Simula's story is told on his website, www.hoodwinkedhouse.com.

Appointments to city commissions

City councilor Bobby Williams praised the enthusiasm of the eight applicants ("especially for the Arts Commission", he said) for city commissions in the latest round of interviews conducted during the off-camera meeting of the council April 6th. The appointees were ratified at the April 13th televised meeting.

Elizabeth (Betsy) Jetson, Sarah Arneson and Laura Palmer (as an alternate) will take seats on the Arts Commission.

Charles Tyler was re-appointed to a 4-year term on the Traffic Commission (first appointed in 2010); he also serves on the Charter Commission. Tom Greenhalgh was reappointed to the Park & Rec Commission and was first appointed in 2001.

Catherine Vesley and Barbara Tantanella were reappointed with 3 year terms on the Library Board. Vesley was first appointed in 2000 and has served on other city commissions as well. Tantanella has served since 2009.

Stan Hoiium resigned his seat on the Park & Rec commission to take one on the Planning & Zoning Commission. Ann Carder was appointed to the Park & Rec Commission. Connie Buesgens was also appointed to the "P & Z" Commission for a year to fill a vacancy. Leaving the P & Z were Chris Little and Tracey Kinney.

Buesgens appended her application with a letter that was uncharacteristic of most Columbia Heights applications seen by the Record in our public data requests. This is an excerpt:

"For many years, I have talked to my neighbors about what I would like to see happen in Columbia Heights. I feel now is the time to put my words into action and become involved. Last fall I attended my first city council meeting. I found that the business of running a city is quite fascinating. Over the past six months, I have attended various meetings, after which I find myself bursting with ideas and questions and have enjoyed many conversations with the city staff, council members, and various committee members. I would like to become more involved by applying for a planning and zoning commission position."

"I am familiar with the basics of city planning and zoning requirements. I am more than willing to attend workshops and seminars to increase my knowledge in this area. I like to keep up with new trends that are occurring in our cities. I lived in Seattle for 12 years which exposed me to many different lifestyles and cultures . . ."

LIQUOR cont. from page 1

director of the Minnesota Municipal Beverage Association. "Has anybody ever done it before ... what we're doing? No. The way we're doing it? No."

But the muni stores' counter attack — revealing Total's mark-ups and margins — has provoked the giant liquor discounter to fire off to nine suburbs a cease-and-desist letter alleging antitrust violations.

"It's surprising that a government body would seek to conspire with other government bodies, munis working together, to figure out ways to work together to allow them to make greater profits. That's clearly an antitrust violation," said David Trone, co-owner of Total Wine & More.

Edina, one of the cities on the other end of that letter, saw the threat coming. The suburb retrained staff, did a market analysis, remodeled two stores, increased its social media presence and rebranded itself.

Still, it took a \$765,000 hit in liquor fund profits

this year.

"We're having to compete with a new competitor, and we're decreasing our margins on most of our products," said Edina city manager Scott Neal at a March 17 meeting of the City Council. "That's going to have an impact, we believe, on sales, but it also has an impact on our profitability."

Total's business model depends on attracting customers with well-known brands of spirits at cut-rate prices. The megastore compensates by marking up so-called proprietary products, mostly wine. It's a formula that's led to more than 100 locations in 15 states.

"What's happened in Minnesota is clearly a confluence of high prices, poor selection and not much service by the normal private competitors," Trone said. "When you put the munis on top of that, they can be \$7, \$8 or \$9 dollars a bottle higher on a bottle of Jack Daniel's or Smirnoff."

But a quirk in Minnesota law has enabled local government stores to crack their giant competitor's markups and fight back. State liquor law requires wholesalers to offer all products to all retailers at the same price — and the list is public information.

The MMBA used the list to painstakingly determine the wholesale and retail price of 1,700 products on Total Wine's shelves, including markups of up to 150 percent. The data went into a playbook suggesting cities change their strategy and start stocking some of Total's "proprietary" bottles, at less of a markup.

"They're accusing us of collusion, when in fact it's not the truth. Do we share information? Yes. Could you go and get this information if you wanted to sit down and take the time and assemble all this? Yes, you could. We just do it," Kaspzak said.

Lakeville Liquors, the most profitable muni operation in Minnesota, has stocked more than 40 of Total's most profitable

bottles with price comparisons for customers. At the same time, Lakeville knocked down prices on numerous products to get more in line with Total's discounts.

"Is this the so-called magic bullet? Only time will tell," said Brenda Visnovec, Lakeville Liquors operations director and MMBA president in a newsletter to members. "... Just maybe, if we educate enough consumers, not only in Lakeville, but throughout the state, we can at least slow down this machine called Total Wine & More from expanding into other regions of the state."

No such luck. Total Wine executives say their Minnesota rollout has been so successful that they plan to follow-up with several more superstores.

"They're not in the hardware business, they're not in the clothing business, they're not in the car business," said Trone of Total Wine. "At some point in time, they'll find a way to exit that (liquor) business and allow private competitors to come into their city to do it better."

Call toll-free: 1-800-259-1096

Are You Still Paying Too Much For Your Medications?
You can save up to 93% when you fill your prescriptions at our Canadian and International prescription service.



Get An Extra \$10 Off & Free Shipping On Your 1st Order!

Call the number below and save an additional \$10 plus get free shipping on your first prescription order with Canada Drug Center. **Expires June 30, 2015.** Offer is valid for prescription orders only and can not be used in conjunction with any other offers. Valid for new customers only. One time use per household.

Order Now! Call Toll-Free: 1-800-259-1096
Use code 10FREE to receive this special offer.

Please note that we do not carry controlled substances and a valid prescription is required for all prescription medication orders.

Prescription price comparison above is valid as of November 1, 2014. All trade-mark (TM) rights associated with the brand name products in this ad belong to their respective owners.

Call Toll-free: 1-800-259-1096

CORN FARMERS

Did you harvest or sell corn between 2010 and the present?
You may be entitled to compensation.

Call Attorney Charles H. Johnson
1-800-535-5727

Anoka County RECORD

www.AnokaCountyRecord.com

Published weekly by Anoka County Record LLC

Subscriptions sent 1st Class U.S. Mail \$100/yr

Single Issue \$2 (check or money order)

John Kyslyczyn, Owner and Publisher

E-mail: editor@anokacountyrecord.com

www.facebook.com/AnokaCountyRecord

www.twitter.com/AnokaCoRecord

VERIFIED

PO BOX 21014
COLUMBIA HEIGHTS MN
55421-0014
(763) 220-0411

Member of the
Minnesota Newspaper
Association





ANOKA COUNTY WATCHDOG

PAID ADVERTISEMENT

Always on the lookout for governmental waste, fraud, and abuse in Anoka County

Quote of the Week: "Rosa Parks refused to give up her seat on the bus. She didn't trash the bus."

- Author unknown

Quote of the Week II: "Politics often boils down to a struggle between two camps. One camp is economically unproductive but politically organized. The other camp is economically productive but politically unorganized."

- Author unknown

THOUGHTS ON THE GREAT TAX DEBATE

This week, both the DFL-led Senate and the GOP-led House passed a number of appropriations bills that fund the major programmatic areas of state government as well as their omnibus tax bills, which establish tax policy for the next two year budget cycle.

In short, these bills represent in a very direct way the governing philosophy of each party.

As one would expect, the DFL and governor would spend nearly the entire budget surplus on spending to satisfy their political constituencies.

On the other hand, the GOP seeks to provide tax relief and keep government spending to a reasonable level.

And even though the legislative session doesn't end until later in May, the DFL is already playing blatant partisan politics with the budget.

They have been frequently uttering the "S" word around the Capitol, signaling their true agenda for the 2015 legislative session.

The "S" word, of course, is "shutdown."

DFL leaders have been calling the GOP budget a "shutdown" budget, saying that they and their governor won't negotiate in good faith to reach a compromise with the House majority to do the people's work.

Instead, they will refuse to compromise and push the state into a shutdown, hoping that the public will blame the GOP and give the DFL a political talking point going into the 2016 elections, when both the House and Senate will be on the ballot.

The DFL, instead of taking care of the people they claim to represent, will push them into uncertainty and chaos in order to score political points with the sheeple who will automatically blame the GOP and believe the canard that Republicans can't effectively manage the House.

Because the news media helped the DFL blame the GOP for the last shutdown, the DFL wants to use the same playbook in 2015.

And while the practical effects of a shutdown are negligible, the DFL clearly believes the political effects are significant and to their advantage.

It's also sad and simultaneously amusing to listen to the DFL decry the fact that the GOP refuses to bloat the government by blowing the surplus on more and more government spending.

This publication thinks the GOP could do a better job in simplifying and explaining exactly what the choice is for citizens.

This is the family budget versus the government budget. It's a zero sum game and only one party is picking the family budget.

Government has no ability to create wealth. The government can only spend money backed by wealth that is created in the private economy.

In other words, every dollar that government spends is a dollar that was confiscated from the private

economy by threat of force.

Every dollar that government spends is a dollar that is no longer available to the private economy to invest or save.

Indeed, the opportunity cost is very real.

So how has the government budget fared of late?

Pretty damn well.

According to the Minnesota Management and Budget Office (MMB), state spending for the fiscal year 2012-2013 biennial cycle was a whopping 18% higher than the previous two year cycle.

Then, the fiscal 2014-2015 budget cycle (the current budget that will expire July 1st), saw spending rise another 12% over the 2012-2013 cycle.

That's a 30% increase in state spending!!!

During that same period, inflation was quite tame, running anywhere from about 3% to zero during that time.

Moreover, Minnesota's population growth was flat during that time.

Even more troubling is the fact that median household income fell during that time. In fact, the 2013 median household income was lower than it was in 1989.

Thus, state government spending has been exponentially higher than inflation, population growth, and household income gains (which were negative) in the past two budget cycles.

There is no rational explanation for this massive spending other than an appetite on the part of government to do so.

This, in a nutshell, is why the GOP is focused on returning money to the family budget and telling the government budget to step back.

Yet another amusing and pathetic narrative the DFL is spewing (as they always do) is the old chestnut about GOP tax breaks ("giveaways") to corporate interests.

And, as always, the hypocrisy is nothing short of breathtaking and overwhelming.

Funny, there was no talk of crony capitalism when the DFL happily participated in the big taxpayer giveaway to Ziggy Stardust Wilf.

Similarly, the DFL all happily authorized a similar corporate giveaway to the Pohlad family.

And the DFL participation in the giveaways isn't just confined to professional sports.

Remember the Destination Medical Center tax breaks for the Mayo Clinic, which happened when the DFL controlled everything?

We recall DFL House Tax Chair Ann Lenczewski correcting complaining that Mayo wanted the legislature "to build them a city."

True enough, Madame Chair. But we also recall you fell in line like a lap dog and did what Speaker Thissen and the governor told you to do.

And we also recall the Baxter Medical tax giveaway, which was marked by the government engaging in an unprecedented level of secrecy to prevent the taxpaying public from finding out what was going on.

The next time some Democrat gets up and complains about the GOP being for the rich corporations, the GOP ought to stand up en masse and laugh out loud.

Yes, it's only evil if the Republicans thought of it first, apparently.

To be clear, this publication doesn't favor corporate welfare any more than we favor other forms of welfare.

But a general tax cut for business isn't welfare. Corporate welfare happens when government engages in targeted favors for politically connected corporate entities that aren't generally available. These giveaways are based on politics and not merit. In short, they are market distorting and force

competitors to subsidize politically connected rivals.

Stay tuned, readers. The BS is about to get mighty thick down in Saint Paul.

RURAL MINNESOTA REFORMS

There has been a good deal of discussion of late regarding the supposed lack of financial support for rural Minnesota in the GOP budget.

Most of the complaints center on there not being enough allocated for local government aid (LGA) and county program aid (CPA).

LGA is state money that gets shipped to cities to spend as they see fit while CPA is for counties.

The Watchdog believes that the legislature ought to have a serious discussion about whether or not this aid is good public policy.

But at a minimum, the House GOP should be asking what kind of reforms should be attached to the giving of this aid, instead of simply larding the money out the door.

In other words, what are rural cities and counties doing to reduce costs and become more efficient?

For example, why are there cities in rural Minnesota with populations in the mere hundreds who have their own police force?

Here in the Metro, there are cities with populations in the tens of thousands (and growing) who rely on the county sheriff for law enforcement through a contract with the county.

We have not heard much in the way of cities merging or un-incorporating to township status to save money.

The consolidation of counties seems to be in order as well.

There is no reason why Minnesota must have 87 counties.

To give readers some perspective, compare the combined population of the state's 10 most populous counties compared to the 10 least populous.

Top 10 combined population: 3,522,612

Bottom 10 combined population: 49,887

The bottom top has just 1.4% of the population of the top 10, yet each county has its own sheriff, county board, county attorney, county engineer, staff, courthouse, etc.

What sense does that make?

Put another way, there are 18 cities in this state with a population bigger than the population of the bottom 10.

Put yet another way, there are more people living in Apple Valley than in the combined population of the bottom 10.

Yes, we see a place for this aid in the grand scheme of things.

But we also see an obligation to make this aid a temporary solution while these cities and counties make legitimate efforts to become more efficient and less dependent on this aid.

To do otherwise is to do what the DFL does. Use the treasury to buy votes.

The Anoka County Watchdog is a place where concerned taxpayers can find fact-supported information and other resources about governmental waste and abuse in Anoka County. My intent is to provide you, the taxpayer, with the information you need to hold your local politicians accountable.

Visit my website and sign up for free weekly e-mail updates at www.AnokaCountyWatchdog.com or contact me personally at harold@anokacountywatchdog.com.

Sincerely,

Harold E. Hamilton, owner.

PAID ADVERTISEMENT

NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF ANOKA

DISTRICT COURT
TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dist. Ct. File No. 02-CV-15-2013

Case Type: Condemnation

County of Anoka, State of Minnesota,
Petitioner,

vs.

Sergeant John Rice Post No. 6316, Veterans of Foreign Wars; CorTrust Bank, N.A.; Great River Energy f/k/a Rural Cooperative Power Association; Menard, Inc.; U.S. Bank, National Association, N.D.; Pacific Dental Services, LLC; Starbucks Coffee Company; Michael Perpich, DDS; Mattress Firm, Inc.; and

Also, all other persons known or unknown claiming any right, title estate, interest, or lien in the real estate described in the Petition herein;

Respondents,

IN THE MATTER OF THE CONDEMNATION
OF CERTAIN LANDS FOR HIGHWAY PURPOSES

TO THE RESPONDENTS HEREIN ABOVE NAMED:

YOU, and each of you, are hereby notified that on the 12th day of June, 2015, at 10:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, in the courthouse at Anoka, Anoka County, Minnesota, the above named Petitioner will present to the above named Court a Petition now on file herein for the condemnation of certain lands for highway purposes.

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED, that at the above time and place the above-named Petitioner will also move the court for an order transferring title and possession to Petitioner of the parcels hereinafter described in this notice in accordance with Minn. Stat. §117.042, as of July 27, 2015.

The Petitioner reserves its right to recover costs of clean up and testing and all other damages resulting from the presence of pollutants, contaminants, or hazardous materials on the property described herein, from all potential responsible parties in a separate legal action.

The objects of said Petition are to take for highway purposes the lands and/or interest as described and indicated in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, together with the following rights:

to acquire all structures, trees, shrubs, grass, aggregate, and herbage within the right-of-way or other interest acquired herein to be taken, and to keep and have exclusive control of the same.

Said taking is subject to existing highways, easements and right-of-way of record.

Further, the objects of said Petition are to take a temporary construction easement for road slope purposes over certain parcels as indicated in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference; which temporary construction easement shall expire on July 1, 2016.

The lands desired and proposed to be so taken are situate in Anoka County, Minnesota, and are described as follows, and the names of all persons appearing of record or known to the Petitioner, to be the owners of said lands or interest therein, including all whom your Petitioner has been able by investigation and inquiry to discover, together with the nature of the ownership of each as nearly as can be ascertained, are as described and indicated in Exhibit A.

Any party wishing to challenge the public use or public purpose, necessity, or authority for the taking must appear at the court hearing and state the objections or must appeal within 60 days of a court order.

The court order approving the public use or public purpose, necessity, and authority for the taking is final unless an appeal is brought within 60 days after the service of the order on the party.

Dated: May 6, 2015

/s/ DAN KLINT
Assistant County Attorney
Attorney I.D. #149810
2100 - 3rd Avenue, Suite 720
Anoka, Minnesota 55303-5025
(763) 323-5668

EXHIBIT A

PARCEL NO(S). 1, 1PE & 1TE

Legal Description of Property to be acquired:

That part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet to the point of beginning of the parcel to be described; thence continue North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 266.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 33.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 266.00 feet to its intersection with a line parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from the point of beginning; thence North 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds East, along said parallel line, 33.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 8,778 square feet, more or less.

Estate to be acquired:

Fee simple absolute, subject to existing highways, easements and right-of-way of record.

AND

A permanent easement for snow storage, signage, sidewalk, slope, utility and drainage purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet to a point hereinafter known as Point "A"; thence continue North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 266.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 33.00 feet to the point of beginning of the permanent easement to be described; thence continue South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 1.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 120.31 feet; thence South 85 degrees 04 minutes 23 seconds East 96.87 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 49.12 feet to its intersection with a line parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from said Point "A"; thence North 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds East 9.00 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East from the point of beginning; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 266.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Said permanent easement containing 1,045 square feet, more or less.

AND

A temporary easement for construction purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet to a point hereinafter known as Point "A"; thence continue North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 266.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 34.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 41.53 feet to the point of beginning of the temporary easement to be described; thence continue South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 78.78 feet; thence South 85 degrees 04 minutes 23 seconds East 96.87 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 49.12 feet to its intersection with a line parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from said Point "A"; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West 2.00 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 49.19 feet; thence North 85 degrees 04 minutes

23 seconds West 96.87 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 57.13 feet; thence South 00 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds West 13.00 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 21.56 feet; thence North 00 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds East 15.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Said temporary easement containing 730 square feet, more or less.

Said temporary easement to expire on July 1, 2016.

Abstract/Torrens: Abstract

NAMES OF PARTIES INTERESTED IN THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED LAND AND NATURE OF INTEREST:

Sergeant John Rice Post No. 6316,.....Fee Owner
Veterans of Foreign Wars,
a United States corporation
CorTrust Bank, National Association,Mortgage Assignee
a national banking association
CorTrust Bank, National Association,Mortgagee
a national banking association
CorTrust Bank, National Association,.....Mortgage Assignee
a national banking association
Great River Energy f/k/a Rural Cooperative.....Right-of-way easement
Power Association, a Minnesota for electrical transmission
Incorporation association or distribution line or system
County of Anoka.....Taxes
PIN: 20-31-23-21-0051 Project No. S.P. 002-612-014

PARCEL NO(S). 2, 2PE & 2TE

Legal Description of Property to be acquired:

That part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 126.43 feet; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 178.51 feet to the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the point of beginning of the parcel to be described; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 144.55 feet to a point distant 271.00 feet westerly of said northeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, as measured along said north line; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 30.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 174.56 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds East from the point of beginning; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 42.36 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 4,787 square feet, more or less.

Estate to be acquired:

Fee simple absolute, subject to existing highways, easements and right-of-way of record.

AND

A permanent easement for snow storage, signage, sidewalk, slope, utility and drainage purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 126.43 feet; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 178.51 feet to the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and a point hereinafter known as Point "B"; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 144.55 feet to a point distant 271.00 feet westerly of said northeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, as measured along said north line; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 30.00 feet to the point of beginning of the permanent easement to be described; thence continue South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 18.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 192.56 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds East from said Point "B"; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 25.41 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East from the point of beginning; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 174.56 feet to the point of beginning.

Said permanent easement containing 3,304 square feet, more or less.

AND

A temporary easement for construction purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 48.00 feet to the point of beginning of the temporary easement to be described; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 43.23 feet; thence South 00 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds West 7.00 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 43.25 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from the point of beginning; thence North 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds East 7.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Said temporary easement containing 303 square feet, more or less.

Said temporary easement to expire on July 1, 2016.

Abstract/Torrens: Abstract

NAMES OF PARTIES INTERESTED IN THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED LAND AND NATURE OF INTEREST:

Menard, Inc., a Delaware corporation.....Fee Owner
U.S. Bank National Association, a national banking.....Mortgagee
association organized and existing under the laws of the United States of America
Pacific Dental Services, LLC, a Delaware corporation.....Tenant
Starbucks Coffee Company.....Tenant
Michael Perpich, DDS.....Tenant
Mattress Firm, Inc.....Tenant
County of Anoka.....Taxes
PIN: 20-31-23-21-0086 Project No. S.P. 002-612-014

(Published May 8, 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #220

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA, SECRETARY OF STATE
CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME, MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted:

Infinte Ideas

2. Principal Place of Business:

10707 Monroe Dr NE, Blaine, MN 55434

3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address:

What'cha Stichin Embroidery, LLC
10707 Monroe Dr NE, Blaine, MN 55434

4. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: May 1, 2015, #820296100025,

/s/ Steve Walker

(Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #221

**CITY OF HAM LAKE
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING -
VACATION OF PUBLIC LAND**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that a Public Hearing will be held before the Ham Lake City Council on the 1st day of June, 2015, at 6:01 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 15544 Central Avenue N.E. Ham Lake, Minnesota 55304, to hear comments and questions concerning the proposed rescindment of vacation of Ulysses Street NE right-of-way in Section 5, that lies within the following described land in the City of Ham Lake, Minnesota:

The west 45.0 feet of the east 70.0 feet of that part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, lying northerly of Lot 9 in said Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, retaining an easement for utilities over said West 45 feet of the East 70 feet. All being in the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota.

The west 45.0 feet of the east 70.0 feet of that part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, retaining an easement for utilities over said west 45 feet of the east 70 feet, lying south of the following described line:

Beginning at the southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 5; thence northerly along the east line of said Southeast Quarter of said Northwest Quarter a distance of 165.00 feet to the point of beginning of said line; thence west at a right angle to said east line of said Southeast Quarter

of said Northwest Quarter of Section 5.

All being a part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, and subject to retaining utility easements.

AND

North Parcel: The west 45.00 feet of the east 70.00 feet of the following described property:

That part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of the said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, distant 264.00 feet North along said East line from the Southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter then West at a right angle to said East line a distance of 280.50 feet then North at a right angle a distance of 264.00 feet then East at a right angle a distance of 280.50 feet then South at a right angle a distance of 264.00 feet to the point of beginning.

South Parcel: The west 45.00 feet of the east 70.00 feet of the following described property:

That part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23 described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter distant 264.00 feet north from the southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence west at a right angle to said east line a distance of 280.50 feet; thence south at a right angle a distance of 99.00 feet; thence east at a right angle a distance of 280.50 feet to the east line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence north, along said east line, a distance of 99.00 feet to

the point of beginning.

AND

Lot 6, AUDITOR'S SUBDIVISION NO. 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, except the following described property:

Beginning at the southeast corner of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23; thence north along the east line thereof, a distance of 528.00 feet; thence west at right angles to said east line a distance of 280.50 feet; thence south and parallel with said east line to the south line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence east along said south line to the point of beginning.

Lying west of the east 25.00 feet of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and lying east of the west right-of-way line of the Service Road to State Trunk Highway No. 65, said west right-of-way line being the west line of the area Released from Trunk Highway System per MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25, Anoka County, Minnesota.

Now known as that part of Lots 11 and 12, Block 1, Country Creek, Anoka County, Minnesota, lying east of said west right-of-way line of the Service Road to State Trunk Highway No. 65.

Said hearing is conducted pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 412.851.

Dated: May 8, 2015

/s/ Denise Webster, City Clerk
(Published May 8, 15, 2015,
Anoka County Record) #182



Steve Fields
Minnesota Attorney



**INJURED AT WORK?
DISABILITY DENIED?**

**Contact Us Today
For Free Advice**

**Ask about our
"Win-Win Guarantee"**

1-888-343-5375

www.GetFields.com

IF YOU USED THE BLOOD THINNER

XARELTO

and suffered internal bleeding, hemorrhaging, required hospitalization or a loved one died while taking Xarelto between 2011 and the present time, you may be entitled to compensation.
Call Attorney Charles H. Johnson 1-800-535-5727

**CITY OF HAM LAKE
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
VACATION OF PUBLIC LAND**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that a Public Hearing will be held before the Ham Lake City Council on the 1st day of June, 2015, at 6:02 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 15544 Central Avenue N.E. Ham Lake, Minnesota 55304, to hear comments and questions concerning the proposed vacation of Ulysses Street N.E. roadway easement, that lies within the following described land in the City of Ham Lake, Minnesota:

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0024

That part of the East 45.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter distant 556.88 feet northerly of the southeast corner thereof, for the purposes of this description said east line is assumed to bear North; thence on a bearing of West a distance of 280.50 feet; thence on a bearing of North a distance of 82.38 feet; thence on a bearing of East a distance of 280.50 feet to said east line; thence on a bearing of South, along said east line a distance of 82.38 feet to the point of beginning. EXCEPT the east 25.00 feet thereof.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0023

That part of the East 45.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter distant 639.26 feet northerly of the southeast corner thereof, for the purposes of this description said east line is assumed to bear North; thence on a bearing of West a distance of 280.50 feet; thence on a bearing of North a distance of 128.00 feet; thence on a bearing of East a distance of 280.50 feet to said east line; thence on a bearing of South, along said east line a distance of 128.00 feet to the point of beginning. EXCEPT the east 25.00 feet thereof, according to the U S Government Survey thereof, Anoka County, Minnesota, now known as and described as a part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0005

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, distant 767.25 feet northerly of the southeast corner thereof; thence northerly along said east line, a distance of 30.00 feet; thence westerly at right angles, a distance of 280.50 feet; thence southerly, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, a distance 30.00 feet; thence easterly at right angles, a distance of 280.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCELS 05-32-23-31-0010 & 05-32-23-31-0011

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tracts of land:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 48.5 rods North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 300.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 300.00 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning, and ALSO that part of Lot 8, AUDITORS SUBDIVISION NO. 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, being part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32 North, Range 23 West, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 5, a distance of 800.25 feet Northerly from the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence Westerly at right angles 280.5 feet; thence Southerly parallel with said East line 3.00 feet; thence Easterly at right angles 280.5 feet to the East line of said Lot 8; thence North along the East line of said Lot 8, a distance of 3.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Excepting from the above described tracts the following tracts:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 982.25 feet North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 90.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 90.00 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning.

The South 52.00 feet of the North 170.00 feet of the following described land: Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter; thence North, on said East line, 300.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles, 300.00 feet; thence East at right angles, 17 rods to the point of beginning.

The North 28.00 feet of the following described land: Commencing at a point

on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 300.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 300.00 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0012

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

The South 52 feet of the North 170 feet of the following:

Commencing at a point on the east line of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) north of the Southeast corner of said Northeast 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4, thence North on said east line, 300 feet, thence West at right angles, 17 rods (280.5 feet); thence south at right angles 300 feet; thence east at right angles 17 rods (280.5 feet) to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCELS 05-32-23-31-0013 & 05-32-23-31-0014

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tracts of land:

The North Twenty-eight (28) feet of the following described land:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) North of the Southeast corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North on said East line, 300 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods (280.5 feet); thence South at right angles 300 feet; thence East at right angles, 17 rods (280.5 feet) to the point of beginning, according to the United States Government Survey thereof, Anoka County, Minnesota.

AND

That part of Lot 8, Auditors Subdivision Number 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, 982.25 feet North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 90 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 90 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0004

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of Lot 8, "Auditors Subdivision Number 61", Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 1100.25 feet north of the Southeast Corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North along the said East line 16 feet, thence West at right angles 218 feet, thence South at right angles 16 feet; thence East at right angles to the point of beginning.

Said property also described as:

That part of Lot 8, "Auditors Subdivision Number 61", Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Southeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 1100.25 feet north of the Southeast Corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North along the said East line 16 feet, thence West at right angles 186 feet; thence South at right angles 16 feet; thence East at right angles to the point of beginning as described in documents 473455 and 1122002.

Said property also described as:

That part of Lot 8, "Auditors Subdivision Number 61", Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Southeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 1100.25 feet north of the Southeast Corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North along the said East line 16 feet, thence West at right angles 218 feet; thence South at right angles 16 feet, thence East at right angles to the point of beginning as described in documents 506158, 1250657 and 1250658.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0020

That part of the most Easterly 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, also being a part of the N7Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (SE 1/4 of NW 1/4) and the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4) of Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the East line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), a distance of One Thousand One Hundred Fifty and Twenty-five Hundredths (1150.25) feet North of the

CITY OF OAK GROVE COUNTY OF ANOKA STATE OF MINNESOTA NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Oak Grove Planning Commission's Regular Meeting will be held on Thursday, May 21, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. to hear the following public hearings in the order that they appear:

PUBLIC HEARING to consider an Interim Use Permit for Scott Wold to store business vehicles and equipment on his future home site which will include an accessory building with a fenced in area on a 40 acre parcel legally described as: The E 1/2 of the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 in Sec 9 and the W 1/2 of the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 in Sec 9 further described by PID# 09-33-24-11-0003 and PID# 09-33-24-11-0002.

All written and oral comments will be heard.

The hearings of this request are not limited to those receiving copies of this notice, and if you know of any neighbor or affected property owner who for any reason, has not received a copy, it would be appreciated if you would inform them of this public hearing.

Hearing impaired persons planning to attend who need an interpreter or other persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids should contact City Hall at 404-7006 no later than Wednesday, May 13, 2015.

Sheryl F. Fiskewold City Clerk (Published May 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #133

ANOKA COUNTY SUMMARY OF BIDS

Bid #2015-13

Description of Bid/RFP: Advertisement for Bids for Prairie and Oak Savanna Restoration Bid Opening: May 29, 2015.

For more information regarding the above published bids/RFPs, please visit the Anoka County Web Site at: www.AnokaCounty.us/bids. (Published May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #209

ANOKA COUNTY SUMMARY OF BIDS

Bid #2015-16

Description of Bid/RFP: Advertisement for Bids Mechanical Repair and Preventative Maintenance Services for HVAC and HVAC Automation Systems; Electrician Services and Plumber Services Bid Opening: June 5, 2015. For more information regarding the above published bids/RFPs, please visit the Anoka County Web Site at: www.AnokaCounty.us/bids. (Published May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #210

ANOKA COUNTY Public Comment Period

Sugar Hills Regional Trail Master Plan

The County of Anoka will be receiving public comments from May 8, 2015 to June 5, 2015 on a change to the proposed master plan for Sugar Hills Regional Trail, which connects the Anoka County regional trail system with the cities of Oak Grove, St. Francis, and Nowthen. The trail is now proposed to generally run east/west along Bridge Street, east/west along Pederson Drive and east/west along Ambassador Boulevard. The remainder of the trail is unchanged and generally follows east/west along Ambassador Boulevard from Pederson Drive, north/south along Nacre St., east/west along Hill N Dale Dr., north/south

along Sugarbush Rd., east/west along Norris Lake Rd., and north/south along Nowthen Blvd to Viking Blvd. Construction is proposed along the south side of Bridge Street in 2015. There are no other plans for trail construction at this time.

Copies of the master plan can be obtained by contacting the project manager or visiting www.anokacountyparks.com. Those who have questions or comments may contact the Project Manager: Karen Blaska, Park Planner, Anoka County Parks and Recreation Department, 550 Bunker Lake Blvd. NW, Andover, MN 55304 or via telephone at 763-767-2865 or e-mail at karen.blaska@co.anoka.mn.us. Written comments on the master plan are preferred and may be submitted to the project manager listed above.

(Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #222

NOTICE TO CREDITORS AND CLAIMANTS OF PARKER'S FARM, INC.

Parker's Farm, Inc., a Minnesota corporation, hereby gives notice to its creditors and claimants of its impending dissolution. Parker's Farm, Inc., is in the process of dissolving, having filed an Intent to Dissolve with the Minnesota Secretary of State on April 27, 2015. Written claims against the company may be sent to Rick Etrheim, 420-93rd Ave NW, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55433. Claims must be received on or before ninety (90) days following first publication of this notice, or July 30, 2015.

(Published May 1, 8, 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #215

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: Head Hunter's Salon

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 12685 Riverdale Blvd. Suite 29 Coon Rapids, MN 55448

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Sharilyn Halstead 18361 Gladiola St NW Oak Grove, MN 55011 Danaye Andrus 608 Park Brook Rd Isanti, MN 55040

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 23, 2015, #823301900032, /s/ Sharilyn Halstead, Salon Owner (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #218

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: medical instrument manufacturing

- 2. Principal Place of

Business: 20330 Guarani Street NW, Oak Grove, MN 55303

consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: KellyAnnette Designs

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 20330 Guarani Street NW, Oak Grove, MN 55303

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Kelly A Miller 20330 Guarani Street NW, Oak Grove, MN 55303

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 15, 2015, #822403000037, /s/ Kelly A. Miller, Owner (Published May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #213

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: Rum River Land Surveyors & Engineers

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 413 South Rum River Drive, Princeton, MN 55371

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Hakanson Anderson Associates Inc. 3601 Thurston Avenue Anoka, MN 55303

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 27, 2015, #824149100025, /s/ Steve R. Lindell (Published May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #212

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: Rum River Land Surveyors & Engineers

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 413 South Rum River Drive, Princeton, MN 55371

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Hakanson Anderson Associates Inc. 3601 Thurston Avenue Anoka, MN 55303

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 23, 2015, #823287000036, /s/ Craig J. Jochum, President (Published May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #214

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: medical instrument manufacturing

- 2. Principal Place of

Business: 20330 Guarani Street NW, Oak Grove, MN 55303

WILSON PAUL v. ERICKA Y. JAVIS

STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF ANOKA TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FILE NO. 02-FA-10-2210 Case Type: Dissolution w/o Children

In Re the Marriage of: Wilson Paul, Petitioner vs. Ericka Y. Javis, Respondent

NOTICE OF MOTION AND FIRST AMENDED MOTION FOR AMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT IN JUDGMENT AND DECREE PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 16, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the parties may be heard, before the Honorable Douglas B. Meslow, Judge of Anoka County District Court, at the Anoka County Courthouse, 325 East Main Street, Anoka, Minnesota 55303, Petitioner will move the Court for an Order granting the following relief:

1. Pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 60.02(f), amending Finding of Fact No. 7 in the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Order for Judgment, and Judgment and Decree entered by default in the above-captioned case on February 15, 2011 to read in its entirety as follows: "On or about July 21, 2007, Respondent left and abandoned Petitioner and has not been seen nor heard from since."

This motion is based upon the attached Affidavit of Wilson Paul and all other pleadings and files herein.

All responsive pleadings shall be served and mailed to or filed with the Court Administrator no later than five days prior to the scheduled hearing. The Court may, in its discretion, disregard any responsive pleading served or filed with the Court Administrator less than five days prior to such hearing in ruling on the motion or matter in question.

The undersigned acknowledges that sanctions may be imposed under Minnesota Statutes Section 549.211.

Dated: May 2, 2015

Huberty Law Firm

/s/ John M. Huberty, Attorney for Petitioner, #0320274

316 East Main Street #115 Anoka, MN 55303 (763) 427-7800 (Published May 8, 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #219

Business: 7940 Ranchers Road NE, Fridley, MN 55432

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Steve R. Lindell 7940 Ranchers Road NE, Fridley, MN 55432

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 27, 2015, #824149100025, /s/ Steve R. Lindell (Published May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #212

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: Aaron Reporting

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Jeanna M Zunker 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: May 2, 2015, #824969200028,

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: Jeanna M Zunker 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Jeanna M Zunker 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: May 2, 2015, #824969200028,

HELP WANTED SEMI TRUCK & Trailer Service Technician Full time tech needed for Rogers based trucking company. Competitive pay with benefits. Call Tony 763/428-8786

HELP WANTED - DRIVERS NOW HIRING Company OTR drivers. \$2,000 sign on bonus, flexible home time, extensive benefits. Call now! Hibb's & Co. 763/389-0610

LINSMEIER TRUCKING A MN based company is now hiring Owner/Operators to pull hopper bottom in the upper Midwest. Home weekends. Call 320/382-6644

WANTED!! INDEPENDENT TRUCK OWNERS!! Metro and local gravel hauling. Competitive pay - weekly settlements. Fuel and Shop Charging, Year round job available. Call Tony 763/428-8786

BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY ATTN: COMPUTER WORK Work from anywhere 24/7. Up to \$1,500 part time to \$7,500/mo. full time. Training provided www.WorkServices6.com

SAVE MONEY! Call your local newspaper or MNA 800-279-2979 to find out how you can save money by placing your advertisement here!

ADOPTIONS #ADOPTION #LOVE #STABILITY #Happiness # LOL -- We promise a beautiful like for your baby. Private adoption. Kerri & Mike, 888/247-5775 kerriandmikeadopt.com

ALLEN S. LAFOUNTAINE - ST. CROIX RIVER BUILDERS

STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF HENNEPIN FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT Vu TRUNG, Plaintiff, Case Type: Civil vs.

Allen S. LaFountaine, individually, and d/b/a St. Croix River Builders, Defendants.

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO ALLEN S. LAFOUNTAINE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND D/B/A ST. CROIX RIVER BUILDERS.

1. YOU ARE BEING SUED. The Plaintiff has started a lawsuit against you. The Plaintiffs Complaint against you is attached to this summons. Do not throw these papers away. They are official papers that affect your rights. You must respond to this lawsuit even though it may not yet be filed with the Court and there may be no court file number on this summons.

2. YOU MUST REPLY WITHIN 20 DAYS TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. You must give or mail to the person who signed this summons a written response called an Answer within 20 days of the date on which you received this Summons. You must send a copy of your Answer to the person who signed this summons located at: Shane A. Anderson

Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, 2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402

3. YOU MUST RESPOND TO EACH CLAIM. The Answer is your written response to the Plaintiffs Complaint. In your Answer you must state whether you agree or disagree with each paragraph of the Complaint. If you believe the Plaintiff should not be given everything asked for in the Complaint, you must say so in your Answer.

4. YOU WILL LOSE YOUR CASE IF YOU DO NOT SEND A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT TO THE PERSON WHO SIGNED THIS SUMMONS. If you do not Answer within 20 days, you will lose this case. You will not get to tell your side of the story, and the Court may decide against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the complaint. If you do not want to contest the claims stated in the complaint, you do not need to respond. A default judgment can then be entered against you for the relief requested in the complaint.

5. LEGAL ASSISTANCE. You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you do not have a lawyer, the Court Administrator may have information about places where you can get legal assistance. Even if you cannot get legal help, you must still provide a written Answer to protect your rights or you may lose the case.

6. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION. The parties may agree to or be ordered to participate in an alternative dispute resolution process under Rule 114 of the Minnesota General Rules of Practice. You must still send your written response to the Complaint even if you expect to use alternative means of resolving this dispute.

Dated: March 23, 2015 /s/ Shane A. Anderson, #0386531

Bethany M. Gullman, #0396493 Attorneys for Plaintiff Trung Vu (Published Apr. 24, May 1, 8, 2015, Anoka County Record) #208

/s/ Jeanna Zunker (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #217

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

- 1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted: Minnesota Basement Remodeling

- 2. Principal Place of Business: 4139 119th Avenue NW, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

- 3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Clear Construction LLC 4139 119th Avenue NW, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

4.. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 30, 2015, #824738300028, /s/ Robert Bialozynski, Owner (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #216



MCAN

Minnesota Classified Advertising Network



Grid of classified ads including: HELP WANTED (SEMI TRUCK, HELP WANTED - DRIVERS), EMPLOYMENT (MCLEOD COUNTY, MN, EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY), AUCTIONS (REAL ESTATE AUCTION, MISCELLANEOUS), EDUCATION (MEDICAL BILLING TRAINEES), BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY (COMPUTER WORK), SAVE MONEY!, and ADOPTIONS.