

Col Hts City Council nixes recommendations from two city commissions

On two traffic matters recently, the Columbia Heights City Council did not follow the recommendations of the Traffic or Planning and Zoning commissions.

City councils however are not obligated to rubber-stamp decisions of city commissions, which serve the city in an advisory capacity. With items that require city council consideration, the final decisions rest with that body.

The two proposals were:

To allow limited parking along 49th Ave. NE in front of the city's high school;

and to designate the school bus pick-up and drop-off locations for the charter school operating at First Lutheran Church, bounded by 40th and 41st Avenues NE, and Tyler and Polk streets.

Observations from the Field
Bryan Olson



Charter School expansion and traffic routing

The charter school operating within the church building, Prodeo Academy, applied for a change to its CUP (Conditional Use Permit) to allow the expansion of the school's space within the existing building structure. A CUP was required for the school because the area is zoned for single-family residential use.

Where the school proposal met some opposition was in regard to traffic flow around the school-church perimeter.

At a Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing March 3rd, residents Kurt and Renee Meyer, 1629 41st Ave. NE., expressed concerns about the traffic congestion along their street. School staff have been parking their cars on the upper side of the street while buses load and drop off students on the lower side of 41st. They said the street is nearly impassable at those times for neighborhood traffic. The Meyers were also concerned about access to their home because they operate a daycare business.

The commission voted unanimously to recommend passage by the City Council, however with the conditions that school staff be directed to park in the church parking lot, which fronts 40th Ave. NE, and not on the street (41st Ave.); the bus drop-offs be made on the Tyler Street side of the building and the pick-ups be made in the church parking lot.

City Council action

The school's preference for the bus drop-off was to keep it on 41st Ave., and pick-ups in the church parking lot. Currently, both tasks are being done on 41st Ave.

The matter came before the city council at its April 13th meeting. Mayor Gary Peterson led the discussion by suggesting it was most sensible to have the bus pick-ups and drop-offs happen at one location for simplicity, and advocated that both tasks be done on the 41st Ave. side of the building. Peterson said he agreed that a stipulation in the CUP should be retained — the City reserves the right to review the CUP again if problems arise with the traffic plan.

Peterson left it to councilor Bobby Williams to make a motion. He was a little surprised that Williams didn't seem to have fully grasped his pitch that 41st Ave. should be used for all busing activity and the school staff should be made to park in the parking lot.



Mayor Gary Peterson patiently explains the motion he wants councilman Bobby Williams (left) to make. (Columbia Heights City Council meeting, 4/27/15)

Peterson asked, "are you going to change it? or take it just as it is?" (the Planning Commission recommendation).

Williams: "Take it as it is."

Peterson: "That means they (the buses) are on Tyler Street and in the parking lot. That's the opposite of what I was saying."

The meeting slowed down at this point, with Peterson carefully pointing out to Williams the differences between the Tyler plan of the Planning Commission

MN Legislature ponders 'first new union contract in decades'

By Tom Steward | Watchdog Minnesota Bureau

Note to union organizers: Don't even think about asking.

Cindy Lindbloom, a personal care attendant in St. Joseph, won't sign a card authorizing part of the state subsidy for her special needs son, Brad, to go toward union dues.



FULL CIRCLE: Two years after winning the right to unionize, SEIU organizers are back at the MN Capitol seeking approval for their first-ever home care worker contract.

"The union comes in and wants to take consumer-directed money from us and it's like, How is that even legal?" she asked. "I'm the consumer using it for my son, and they're telling me that I have to take money for a union that we neither need, nor want."

Minnesota legislators are deciding whether to approve what the Service

UNION cont. on page 2



41st and Tyler looking east: the school buses will line up on 41st Avenue, alongside of the school

and the 41st Ave. plan advocated by the mayor.

Williams said "I'm okay with that" when Peterson referenced Tyler. Williams then came around by saying "I'm okay with either way. So you think it's better the other way?"

Peterson: "I definitely think it's better, or I wouldn't have said anything."

Peterson restated the motion that all busing activity would occur on 41st Ave., school staff is to park in the church parking lot, and the city reserves the right to review the CUP again if any problems arise. Williams said, "that's fine, that is my motion." The council voted to approve 3-2, with John Murzyn Jr. and Donna Schmitt voting no. During discussion, Murzyn mentioned the Planning Commission's rationale for choosing Tyler St. for buses was due to garbage trucks not being able to get through 41st Ave. when school traffic activity was at its peak.

Parking at the high school's Hylander Center

A request by senior citizens who use the gym and exercise areas of the high school was brought up at a Traffic Commission meeting April 6th. The commission unanimously rejected a proposal to allow parking along the south side of 49th Ave. NE near the door of the gym-pool area. The request was being made of the city's Parks and Recreation Department by senior citizen users of the facility, to pass on to the city council for final consideration.

The reasoning behind the seniors' request was to allow them to park closer to the door which would be especially beneficial in the winter.

To improve visibility, parking on the street was removed a few years ago during school operating hours. Parking is allowed at other times.

Council Nixes Recommendations cont. on page 2

Observations from the Field: Col Hts City Council nixes recommendations *cont. from page 1*

Members of the Traffic Commission pointed out there is ample parking in the lots on the north side of the street, and crosswalks are provided. Also playing into their decision to deny the request is that it was not for handicapped parking but rather for “healthy people”, as described in the meeting minutes. The commission also noted that this portion of the street is often used as pick-up and drop-off points for students getting in and out of cars, which could become a hazard when mixing this with parked cars. The seniors’ request was to allow parking between the hours of 8:30 and 11:30 am on school days. The 140 feet of parking space being requested would allow seven cars to park. The parking would start at a point fifty feet east of Fillmore Street.

When the item came to the City Council meeting of April 27th, city manager Walter Fehst asked to have the matter removed from the consent agenda for discussion and a vote.

Ordinarily, items on the consent agenda are approved with one vote unless a city councilor specifically requests to remove items for discussion and separate vote.

Fehst’s action reminded us of how some city managers act as though they’re “the sixth elected official” and insert themselves into the meetings.

The council meeting packets often include a staff recommendation on matters such as this. The recommendation for council action is usually based on staff findings or the decisions of city commissions. The council is not bound to follow any recommendations made.

Fehst said “actually I would have liked to have seen here (referring to the meeting packet) the recommended motion would have been to install the traffic signs...” — meaning approval of the request. One has to wonder how this meeting packet was prepared without Fehst’s oversight; by his actions taken at the meeting, the item should

have been on the regular agenda, not on the consent agenda, and the staff recommendation should have been to approve, rather than deny.

City councilor Bruce Nawrocki asked Recreation Director Keith Windschitl as to how many people are using the facility in the weekday mornings. He said “six to twelve” and described a number of activities that were being offered at the Hylander Center, such as Monday morning shuffleboard and that some of the exercise programs held at Murzyn Hall may be moved to the high school because of the demands for larger space. One senior citizen user of the facility spoke before the council in favor of the request.

The city council voted unanimously to create the parking spaces, between the hours of 8:30 am and 11:30 am on school operating days.



UNION *cont. from page 1*

Employees International Union calls the “first new union contract with the state in decades.”

Under the tentative agreement negotiated with Democratic Gov. Mark Dayton’s administration, millions of dollars in union dues and other fees could flow to the SEIU.

The contract gives the SEIU \$750,000 to hold two-hour orientation sessions for new personal-care aides. Opponents claim the courses could be used to push union membership on newcomers.

The agreement also authorizes the state to deduct union dues from the pay of home-care workers who signed a membership card. Supporters contend personal care aides get a bump in pay, better training and paid time off.

“We want our first contract to be the first of many gains for home care workers, and for all working families in Minnesota, in the years ahead,” Jan Wirpl, a Minneapolis home-care worker on the SEIU negotiating committee, said in a news release. “Home care workers, like workers in other industries who have raised their voices for a more fair society, are fighting to live, not just survive.”

The controversy has come full circle. Democratic-Farmer-Labor state lawmakers, in a heated 2013 party-line vote, approved the right of home-care workers to form a union. Months later, the U.S. Supreme Court handed public employee unions a setback, prohibiting forced collection of “fair share fees” from home-care workers who opposed the union.

SEIU Healthcare Minnesota went ahead anyway, staging the biggest public employee union election in state history. Though 80 percent of the 27,000 eligible voters sat out the election, the SEIU got 3,500 of 5,800 total ballots cast, paving the way to the union’s first contract.

“The agreement is largely about the

union. You’ve got maybe four or five lines having to do with the compensation and benefits of the personal-care attendants, and you’ve got four pages dealing with all the information the union is supposed to get and how the dues are supposed to be deducted,” said Doug Seaton, a Twin Cities attorney.

Seaton represents Lindbloom and five other home-care workers in one of two cases challenging the union’s legality and constitutionality.

While 13 percent of all home-care aides voted for the union, opponents say thousands more may have signed cards obligating them to pay union dues for a year, regardless of whether they realized it.

“They’re trying to get a piece of it (state subsidy) and I’m fighting it, because it’s for my son,” Lindbloom said. “It’s not for the union to decide. They don’t even know these adults and children.”

The contract before the Legislature doesn’t stipulate how much in union dues will be deducted monthly. While no longer able to compel home care aides to pay dues or fees, SEIU makes a sales pitch in campaign materials, which, apparently, are still distributed to prospects.

“Union members pay dues because it takes money to negotiate, to organize to improve our lives, and to make sure the state follows through and complies with the contract we negotiate,” states one flier.

The union contract didn’t get a hearing in the Republican-led House, but it remains alive in conference committee negotiations with the DFL-controlled Senate. If legislators reject the contract, it could prove to be a costly delay, as the union waits for the 2016 session.

But the process also allows enactment on an interim basis this summer. Even a split vote by the House and Senate members of the Subcommittee on Employee Relations would start the dues deductions flowing until legislators reconvene in 2016.

CORN FARMERS

Did you harvest or sell corn between
2010 and the present?
You may be entitled to compensation.

Call Attorney Charles H. Johnson
1-800-535-5727



The Best Jerky In The USA
Made in the USA

Free shipping on ALL orders
Free returns if it's not the BEST you have ever had

Get **\$10 Off** The Original Beef Jerky Sampler 

1-800-486-7220

Anoka County RECORD
www.AnokaCountyRecord.com
Published weekly by Anoka County Record LLC
Subscriptions sent 1st Class U.S. Mail \$100/yr
Single Issue \$2 (check or money order)
John Kyslyczyn, Owner and Publisher
E-mail: editor@anokacountyrecord.com

www.facebook.com/AnokaCountyRecord | www.twitter.com/AnokaCoRecord | VERIFIED

PO BOX 21014
COLUMBIA HEIGHTS MN
55421-0014
(763) 220-0411

Member of the
Minnesota Newspaper
Association



GOVERNMENT MEETINGS CALENDAR

CITY OF HAM LAKE, Ham Lake City Hall, 15544 Central Ave. NE, Ham Lake MN 55304 (763) 434-9555 (www.ci.ham-lake.mn.us)
Hours Mon-Thurs 7 am-4:30 pm; Fri. 7 am-Noon
Mon. May 18 — City Council, 6 pm
Wed. May 20 — Park & Tree Comm., 6 pm
Tues. May 26 — Planning Comm., 6 pm

CITY OF OAK GROVE, Oak Grove City Hall, 19900 Nightingale St. NW, Oak Grove, MN 55011 (763) 404-7000 (www.ci.oak-grove.mn.us)
Wed. May 20 — Park Comm., 6:30 pm
Thurs. May 21 — Planning Comm., 7 pm
Tues. May 26 — City Council, 7 pm

NORTH METRO CABLE COMMISSION (Ham Lake, Blaine, Lexington, Circle Pines, Centerville, Lino Lakes, Spring Lake Park) (763) 780-8241
Wed. May 20 — Cable Comm., 6 pm, Spring Lake Park City Hall



ANOKA COUNTY WATCHDOG

PAID ADVERTISEMENT

Always on the lookout for governmental waste, fraud, and abuse in Anoka County

Quote of the Week: "This is not the first disreputable act of Representative Erhardt, and we request that in the future he be more respectful to the citizens of Minnesota in the business of the House."

- State Representatives Dave Baker, Rod Hamilton, Tim Miller, and Jeanne Poppe in a letter formally entered into the Journal of the House of Representatives

THE END

As American Poet Jim Morrison noted, this is the end, beautiful friend, the end, my only friend, the end.

The end of the 2015 legislative session that is.

As we careen towards the constitutionally mandated adjournment of May 18th, speculation is flying fast and furious about what the end will look like - and when it will come.

So put on your purple track suit and matching Nike shoes and let's check in with Capitol sources to see what they say about the end and the most pressing questions relating thereto.

Will there be a bonding bill this year? Sources tell us "no." This is an interesting, even though the odd number years technically aren't "bonding" years.

But the recent history has been to do some level of bonding in the even number years, especially as "parting gifts" to seal a budget deal.

This would be especially true in divided government, where there is inevitably more horse trading between the DFL and GOP leadership.

Will there be a transportation bill?

Unlikely. The DFL seems stuck on raising the gas tax and engaging in other revenue-raising schemes that they deemed too politically dangerous when they were in charge of everything.

And the GOP seems just as adamantly opposed to increase transportation spending through re-prioritizing existing resources, especially in light of a \$2 billion government surplus.

This has to be a line in the sand. No Republican should support a gas tax increase, sales tax increase, "fee" increase or any other type of tax/fee increase for transportation!

Any Republican who would support these spending increases on top of the crushing taxes Minnesotans already pay ought to think twice.

Taxpayers shouldn't have to reach into their wallets yet again in order to have quality roads and bridges - a core governmental function.

Instead, it's government that should find the money in its ample coffers.

Remember, it's the family budget versus the government budget. Transportation taxes are at the very heart of this argument.

If they cave, Republicans will lose a key narrative and erase the distinction they have worked so hard to craft in the minds of middle class voters between their vision of government and the liberal's vision.

Don't do it, Republicans! Stick to your guns, even if it means no deal!

Moreover, serious policy differences exist between the two parties, with the DFL continuing to push its Copenhagen-on-the-Mississippi model of bike paths and trolleys in place of automobiles.

Republicans, on the other hand, understand that Minnesota doesn't have nearly the population density to support significant mass transit. Moreover, they recognize that forced mass transit is part of the sinister liberal plot to control us by taking away one of the biggest keys to freedom in the developed

world - a car.

Look for perhaps a very skinny "lights on" type of budget and work on something more substantive next year.

Will the session end on time?

Surprisingly, the answer appears to be "yes." The Watchdog staff has pressed our DFL informants to be give us the true dope on a DFL shutdown strategy to embarrass Republicans.

Our stoolies swear on Joe Biden's hair plugs that there is no secret shut down strategy.

They contend that with the Senate on the ballot in two years, the DFL believes a shutdown this year would harm them as much as Republicans in the House, who will also be on the ballot.

Mark Dayton won't be on the ballot and could benefit, but DFL Senate leadership is more than willing to part ways with the governor if that's the way he wants to go.

Don't forget that there is no love lost between Dayton and DFL Senate Majority Leader Tom Bakk.

If the Senate feels cutting a timely deal is good for them, they'll do it.

Of course, a deal would need to entail the regular give and take between both sides.

House Speaker Kurt Daudt tipped his hand this week when he noted that the House could live with \$1 billion in tax cuts, compared to the \$2 billion that's on the table at this point.

Let's hope GOP leadership drives a hard bargain and rewards our hard work to get them elected.

Stay tuned, Watchdogs.

THE SOUTHWEST SOFT-SHOE

Taxpayers, beware. The Watchdog has seen this scam before.

With the news leaking out that the Southwest Light Rails is now projected to cost in the neighborhood of \$2 billion, the special interests hawking the line are now in full damage control, looking for ways to "reduce" the cost of the project.

One of the options being floated is to cut out one of the stations.

Don't fall for it.

The Watchdog well recalls the Fridley Northstar station being cut out back when that train line was under consideration.

In that case, the station was cut not to save money, but to save time.

Readers will recall that the federal government has time standards that compare rail travel time to comparable route auto travel. The train essentially has to be competitive with a car with respect to travel time.

Obviously, station stops greatly impact travel time and thus Fridley was cut.

And what happened? The state simply came in behind the feds and funded a Northstar station.

And then after that they funded another station in Ramsey, putting four stations in Anoka County alone.

Guess why the Southwest LRT advocates are so quick to cut stations?

They will simply come in and have them built anyway.

There is a history, a very recent history, of these shenanigans. Policy makers, at least the ones who care about runaway spending, shouldn't fall for it.

And while we're at this rail business, let's also address, yet again, another liberal canard they continue to disseminate.

Please stop with the bogus narrative that the Northstar rail needs to be extended to Saint Cloud, as it should have been in the first place had it not been for Snidley Whiplash Pawlenty and his evil plot to sabotage the train.

First, wasting more money on Northstar by

extending the line won't save it. Northstar is a failure because it's the line was a bad idea to begin with. Commuter rail through a sparsely populated exurban area was only a political idea, not a policy idea, to get some money to the north Metro.

Second, Northstar was never "supposed" to go to Saint Cloud (actually Rice, MN). There was a proposal to run the line that far, which was soundly rejected by the federal government, not Tim Pawlenty and Tea Party henchmen.

The federal government rejected that line going that far because it failed to meet the fed's own cost/benefit metrics, as low as they were and are.

It's a cute story to blame a former governor and his political party, but it's also a bald faced lie.

Liberals know this, but they lie anyway because it enhances their chances of getting the line extended.

Better to blame Tim Pawlenty and politics than to acknowledge that the real culprit is bad public policy that is so bad even the federal government won't fund it.

You know things are bad when Mark Dayton expresses sticker shock.

Republicans would be well served to let the DFL continue to push these outrageous projects.

Just make sure to give them enough rope.

BIRD-FLU BUFFOON

Readers, if you didn't catch state Rep. Ron "Ol' Blue" Erhardt's (DFL - Edina) clownish and insensitive attempt at humor on the floor of the House this week, do yourself a favor and watch it. (<https://www.minnpost.com/minnclips/2015/05/video-rep-erhardts-odd-turkey-speech>)

The avian flu and turkey "expert" from Edina tells his colleagues (and all of Minnesota) that eating turkey can expose one to avian bird flu, a terrible disease that has killed millions of turkeys and greatly harmed Minnesota's economy.

Of course, the disease cannot be spread to humans and scarring people into thinking otherwise is just profoundly stupid.

What's next, DFLers calling U.S. Supreme Court Justice Thomas "Uncle Thomas?"

We want to know where Governor Dayton and House Minority Leader Paul Thissen are on this one.

Remember that it was just a couple of weeks ago when they were calling for Rep. Jim Newberger to "held accountable" for ill-advised comments?

Funny, you can hear crickets chirping in the DFL corner.

No worries. This just confirms that the DFL really doesn't care about rural Minnesota and that voters were right to kick 10 rural DFL legislators out of their seats in the last election.

The other question the Watchdog has is whether or not Minority Leader Thissen has promised to make Erhardt the Agriculture Committee chairman if the DFL takes the House majority in 2016.

Why not? They made Minneapolis enviro-radical Jean Wagenius that committee's chair after the 2012 election. She hates farmers probably more than Erhardt does, although both really probably think that food is grown in trendy organic co-ops anyway.

The Anoka County Watchdog is a place where concerned taxpayers can find fact-supported information and other resources about governmental waste and abuse in Anoka County. My intent is to provide you, the taxpayer, with the information you need to hold your local politicians accountable.

Visit my website and sign up for free weekly e-mail updates at www.AnokaCountyWatchdog.com or contact me personally at harold@anokacountywatchdog.com.

Sincerely,

Harold E. Hamilton, owner.

PAID ADVERTISEMENT

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF ANOKA

NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS

DISTRICT COURT
TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dist. Ct. File No. 02-CV-15-2013

Case Type: Condemnation

County of Anoka, State of Minnesota,
Petitioner,

vs.

Sergeant John Rice Post No. 6316, Veterans of Foreign Wars; CorTrust Bank, N.A.; Great River Energy f/k/a Rural Cooperative Power Association; Menard, Inc.; U.S. Bank, National Association, N.D.; Pacific Dental Services, LLC; Starbucks Coffee Company; Michael Perpich, DDS; Mattress Firm, Inc.; and

Also, all other persons known or unknown claiming any right, title estate, interest, or lien in the real estate described in the Petition herein;

Respondents,

IN THE MATTER OF THE CONDEMNATION
OF CERTAIN LANDS FOR HIGHWAY PURPOSES

TO THE RESPONDENTS HEREIN ABOVE NAMED:

YOU, and each of you, are hereby notified that on the 12th day of June, 2015, at 10:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, in the courthouse at Anoka, Anoka County, Minnesota, the above named Petitioner will present to the above named Court a Petition now on file herein for the condemnation of certain lands for highway purposes.

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED, that at the above time and place the above-named Petitioner will also move the court for an order transferring title and possession to Petitioner of the parcels hereinafter described in this notice in accordance with Minn. Stat. §117.042, as of July 27, 2015.

The Petitioner reserves its right to recover costs of clean up and testing and all other damages resulting from the presence of pollutants, contaminants, or hazardous materials on the property described herein, from all potential responsible parties in a separate legal action.

The objects of said Petition are to take for highway purposes the lands and/or interest as described and indicated in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, together with the following rights:

to acquire all structures, trees, shrubs, grass, aggregate, and herbage within the right-of-way or other interest acquired herein to be taken, and to keep and have exclusive control of the same.

Said taking is subject to existing highways, easements and right-of-way of record.

Further, the objects of said Petition are to take a temporary construction easement for road slope purposes over certain parcels as indicated in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference; which temporary construction easement shall expire on July 1, 2016.

The lands desired and proposed to be so taken are situate in Anoka County, Minnesota, and are described as follows, and the names of all persons appearing of record or known to the Petitioner, to be the owners of said lands or interest therein, including all whom your Petitioner has been able by investigation and inquiry to discover, together with the nature of the ownership of each as nearly as can be ascertained, are as described and indicated in Exhibit A.

Any party wishing to challenge the public use or public purpose, necessity, or authority for the taking must appear at the court hearing and state the objections or must appeal within 60 days of a court order.

The court order approving the public use or public purpose, necessity, and authority for the taking is final unless an appeal is brought within 60 days after the service of the order on the party.

Dated: May 6, 2015

/s/ DAN KLINT
Assistant County Attorney
Attorney I.D. #149810
2100 - 3rd Avenue, Suite 720
Anoka, Minnesota 55303-5025
(763) 323-5668

EXHIBIT A

PARCEL NO(S). 1, 1PE & 1TE

Legal Description of Property to be acquired:

That part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet to the point of beginning of the parcel to be described; thence continue North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 266.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 33.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 266.00 feet to its intersection with a line parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from the point of beginning; thence North 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds East, along said parallel line, 33.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 8,778 square feet, more or less.

Estate to be acquired:

Fee simple absolute, subject to existing highways, easements and right-of-way of record.

AND

A permanent easement for snow storage, signage, sidewalk, slope, utility and drainage purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet to a point hereinafter known as Point "A"; thence continue North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 266.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 33.00 feet to the point of beginning of the permanent easement to be described; thence continue South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 1.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 120.31 feet; thence South 85 degrees 04 minutes 23 seconds East 96.87 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 49.12 feet to its intersection with a line parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from said Point "A"; thence North 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds East 9.00 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East from the point of beginning; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 266.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Said permanent easement containing 1,045 square feet, more or less.

AND

A temporary easement for construction purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet to a point hereinafter known as Point "A"; thence continue North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 266.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 34.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 41.53 feet to the point of beginning of the temporary easement to be described; thence continue South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 78.78 feet; thence South 85 degrees 04 minutes 23 seconds East 96.87 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 49.12 feet to its intersection with a line parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from said Point "A"; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West 2.00 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 49.19 feet; thence North 85 degrees 04 minutes

23 seconds West 96.87 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 57.13 feet; thence South 00 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds West 13.00 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 21.56 feet; thence North 00 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds East 15.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Said temporary easement containing 730 square feet, more or less.

Said temporary easement to expire on July 1, 2016.

Abstract/Torrens: Abstract

NAMES OF PARTIES INTERESTED IN THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED LAND AND NATURE OF INTEREST:

Sergeant John Rice Post No. 6316,.....Fee Owner
Veterans of Foreign Wars,
a United States corporation
CorTrust Bank, National Association,Mortgage Assignee
a national banking association
CorTrust Bank, National Association,Mortgagee
a national banking association
CorTrust Bank, National Association,.....Mortgage Assignee
a national banking association
Great River Energy f/k/a Rural Cooperative.....Right-of-way easement
Power Association, a Minnesota
Incorporation association
County of Anoka.....Taxes
PIN: 20-31-23-21-0051
Project No. S.P. 002-612-014

PARCEL NO(S). 2, 2PE & 2TE

Legal Description of Property to be acquired:

That part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 126.43 feet; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 178.51 feet to the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the point of beginning of the parcel to be described; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 144.55 feet to a point distant 271.00 feet westerly of said northeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, as measured along said north line; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 30.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 174.56 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds East from the point of beginning; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 42.36 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 4,787 square feet, more or less.

Estate to be acquired:

Fee simple absolute, subject to existing highways, easements and right-of-way of record.

AND

A permanent easement for snow storage, signage, sidewalk, slope, utility and drainage purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 126.43 feet; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 178.51 feet to the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and a point hereinafter known as Point "B"; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, along said north line, 144.55 feet to a point distant 271.00 feet westerly of said northeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, as measured along said north line; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 30.00 feet to the point of beginning of the permanent easement to be described; thence continue South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with said east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 18.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 192.56 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds East from said Point "B"; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds West 25.41 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East from the point of beginning; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 174.56 feet to the point of beginning.

Said permanent easement containing 3,304 square feet, more or less.

AND

A temporary easement for construction purposes, over, under and across that part of the East 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20, Township 31, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West, bearing assumed, along the north line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 271.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, 48.00 feet to the point of beginning of the temporary easement to be described; thence South 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds East 43.23 feet; thence South 00 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds West 7.00 feet; thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 36 seconds West 43.25 feet to its intersection with a line bearing South 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds West from the point of beginning; thence North 00 degrees 23 minutes 05 seconds East 7.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Said temporary easement containing 303 square feet, more or less.

Said temporary easement to expire on July 1, 2016.

Abstract/Torrens: Abstract

NAMES OF PARTIES INTERESTED IN THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED LAND AND NATURE OF INTEREST:

Menard, Inc., a Delaware corporation.....Fee Owner
U.S. Bank National Association, a national banking.....Mortgagee
association organized and existing under the
laws of the United States of America
Pacific Dental Services, LLC, a Delaware corporation.....Tenant
Starbucks Coffee Company.....Tenant
Michael Perpich, DDS.....Tenant
Mattress Firm, Inc.....Tenant
County of Anoka.....Taxes
PIN: 20-31-23-21-0086
Project No. S.P. 002-612-014

(Published May 8, 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #220

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA, SECRETARY OF STATE
CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME, MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted:

Infinte Ideas

2. Principal Place of Business:

10707 Monroe Dr NE, Blaine, MN 55434

3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address:

What'cha Stichin Embroidery, LLC
10707 Monroe Dr NE, Blaine, MN 55434

4. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: May 1, 2015, #820296100025,

/s/ Steve Walker

(Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #221

**CITY OF HAM LAKE
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING -
VACATION OF PUBLIC LAND**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that a Public Hearing will be held before the Ham Lake City Council on the 1st day of June, 2015, at 6:01 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 15544 Central Avenue N.E. Ham Lake, Minnesota 55304, to hear comments and questions concerning the proposed rescindment of vacation of Ulysses Street NE right-of-way in Section 5, that lies within the following described land in the City of Ham Lake, Minnesota:

The west 45.0 feet of the east 70.0 feet of that part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, lying northerly of Lot 9 in said Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, retaining an easement for utilities over said West 45 feet of the East 70 feet. All being in the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota.

The west 45.0 feet of the east 70.0 feet of that part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, retaining an easement for utilities over said west 45 feet of the east 70 feet, lying south of the following described line:

Beginning at the southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 5; thence northerly along the east line of said Southeast Quarter of said Northwest Quarter a distance of 165.00 feet to the point of beginning of said line; thence west at a right angle to said east line of said Southeast Quarter

of said Northwest Quarter of Section 5. All being a part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, and subject to retaining utility easements.

AND
North Parcel: The west 45.00 feet of the east 70.00 feet of the following described property: That part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of the said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, distant 264.00 feet North along said East line from the Southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter then West at a right angle to said East line a distance of 280.50 feet then North at a right angle a distance of 264.00 feet then East at a right angle a distance of 280.50 feet then South at a right angle a distance of 264.00 feet to the point of beginning.

South Parcel: The west 45.00 feet of the east 70.00 feet of the following described property: That part of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23 described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter distant 264.00 feet north from the southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence west at a right angle to said east line a distance of 280.50 feet; thence south at a right angle a distance of 99.00 feet; thence east at a right angle a distance of 280.50 feet to the east line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence north, along said east line, a distance of 99.00 feet to

the point of beginning.

AND
Lot 6, AUDITOR'S SUBDIVISION NO. 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, except the following described property:

Beginning at the southeast corner of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23; thence north along the east line thereof, a distance of 528.00 feet; thence west at right angles to said east line a distance of 280.50 feet; thence south and parallel with said east line to the south line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; thence east along said south line to the point of beginning.

Lying west of the east 25.00 feet of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and lying east of the west right-of-way line of the Service Road to State Trunk Highway No. 65, said west right-of-way line being the west line of the area Released from Trunk Highway System per MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25, Anoka County, Minnesota.

Now known as that part of Lots 11 and 12, Block 1, Country Creek, Anoka County, Minnesota, lying east of said west right-of-way line of the Service Road to State Trunk Highway No. 65.

Said hearing is conducted pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 412.851.

Dated: May 8, 2015

/s/ Denise Webster, City Clerk
(Published May 8, 15, 2015,
Anoka County Record) #182

**CLAIM YOUR
CASH!**

with help from the



**One in twenty Minnesotans has more
than \$100 in unclaimed property
waiting to be claimed.**

You may be the one!

go to
mn.gov/commerce/find-ucp
or
missingmoney.com

**CITY OF HAM LAKE
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
VACATION OF PUBLIC LAND**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that a Public Hearing will be held before the Ham Lake City Council on the 1st day of June, 2015, at 6:02 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 15544 Central Avenue N.E. Ham Lake, Minnesota 55304, to hear comments and questions concerning the proposed vacation of Ulysses Street N.E. roadway easement, that lies within the following described land in the City of Ham Lake, Minnesota:

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0024

That part of the East 45.00 feet of the following described tract of land: That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter distant 556.88 feet northerly of the southeast corner thereof, for the purposes of this description said east line is assumed to bear North; thence on a bearing of West a distance of 280.50 feet; thence on a bearing of North a distance of 82.38 feet; thence on a bearing of East a distance of 280.50 feet to said east line; thence on a bearing of South, along said east line a distance of 82.38 feet to the point of beginning. EXCEPT the east 25.00 feet thereof.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0023

That part of the East 45.00 feet of the following described tract of land: That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter distant 639.26 feet northerly of the southeast corner thereof, for the purposes of this description said east line is assumed to bear North; thence on a bearing of West a distance of 280.50 feet; thence on a bearing of North a distance of 128.00 feet; thence on a bearing of East a distance of 280.50 feet to said east line; thence on a bearing of South, along said east line a distance of 128.00 feet to the point of beginning. EXCEPT the east 25.00 feet thereof, according to the U S Government Survey thereof, Anoka County, Minnesota, now known as and described as a part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0005

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land: That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, distant 767.25 feet northerly of the southeast corner thereof; thence northerly along said east line, a distance of 30.00 feet; thence westerly at right angles, a distance of 280.50 feet; thence southerly, parallel with the east line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, a distance 30.00 feet; thence easterly at right angles, a distance of 280.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCELS 05-32-23-31-0010 & 05-32-23-31-0011

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tracts of land:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 48.5 rods North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 300.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 300.00 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning, and ALSO that part of Lot 8, AUDITORS SUBDIVISION NO. 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, being part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32 North, Range 23 West, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 5, a distance of 800.25 feet Northerly from the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence Westerly at right angles 280.5 feet; thence Southerly parallel with said East line 3.00 feet; thence Easterly at right angles 280.5 feet to the East line of said Lot 8; thence North along the East line of said Lot 8, a distance of 3.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Excepting from the above described tracts the following tracts:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 982.25 feet North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 90.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 90.00 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning.

The South 52.00 feet of the North 170.00 feet of the following described land: Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter; thence North, on said East line, 300.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles, 300.00 feet; thence East at right angles, 17 rods to the point of beginning.

The North 28.00 feet of the following described land: Commencing at a point

on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 300.00 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 300.00 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0012

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land: That part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

The South 52 feet of the North 170 feet of the following:

Commencing at a point on the east line of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) north of the Southeast corner of said Northeast 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4, thence North on said east line, 300 feet, thence West at right angles, 17 rods (280.5 feet); thence south at right angles 300 feet; thence east at right angles 17 rods (280.5 feet) to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCELS 05-32-23-31-0013 & 05-32-23-31-0014

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tracts of land: The North Twenty-eight (28) feet of the following described land:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, 48.5 rods (800.25 feet) North of the Southeast corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North on said East line, 300 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods (280.5 feet); thence South at right angles 300 feet; thence East at right angles, 17 rods (280.5 feet) to the point of beginning, according to the United States Government Survey thereof, Anoka County, Minnesota.

AND

That part of Lot 8, Auditors Subdivision Number 61, Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, 982.25 feet North of the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North on said East line, 90 feet; thence West at right angles 17 rods; thence South at right angles 90 feet; thence East at right angles 17 rods to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0004

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land: That part of Lot 8, "Auditors Subdivision Number 61", Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 1100.25 feet north of the Southeast Corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North along the said East line 16 feet, thence West at right angles 218 feet, thence South at right angles 16 feet; thence East at right angles to the point of beginning.

Said property also described as:

That part of Lot 8, "Auditors Subdivision Number 61", Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Southeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 1100.25 feet north of the Southeast Corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North along the said East line 16 feet, thence West at right angles 186 feet; thence South at right angles 16 feet; thence East at right angles to the point of beginning as described in documents 473455 and 1122002.

Said property also described as:

That part of Lot 8, "Auditors Subdivision Number 61", Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Southeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 1100.25 feet north of the Southeast Corner of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North along the said East line 16 feet, thence West at right angles 218 feet; thence South at right angles 16 feet, thence East at right angles to the point of beginning as described in documents 506158, 1250657 and 1250658.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-31-0020

That part of the most Easterly 70.00 feet of the following described tract of land:

That part of Lot 8, Auditor's Subdivision No. 61, also being a part of the N7Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (SE 1/4 of NW 1/4) and the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4) of Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the East line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4), a distance of One Thousand One Hundred Fifty and Twenty-five Hundredths (1150.25) feet North of the

Southeast corner thereof; thence Northerly along said East line, a distance of One Hundred Seventy-seven and Twelve Hundredths feet (177.12) feet to the Northeast corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4); thence Northerly along the East line of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (SE 1/4 of NW 1/4), a distance of Fifteen (15.00) feet; thence Westerly at right angles, a distance of Two Hundred Eighty and Fifty Hundredths (280.50) feet; thence Southerly parallel with said East line, a distance of One Hundred Ninety-one and Eighty-eight Hundredths (191.88) feet; thence Easterly at right angles, a distance of Two Hundred Eighty and Fifty Hundredths (280.50) feet to the point of beginning.

Except the South thirty-seven (37) feet thereof.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 75.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCELS 05-32-23-24-0005 & 05-32-23-24-0006

That part of the East 70.00 feet of the following described tracts of land, The Southerly Seventy-five feet (75') of the Northerly One Hundred Seventy-four feet (174') of the following described land in Anoka County, Minnesota:

Commencing at a point on the East line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NE 1/4 of SW 1/4) of Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32), Range Twenty-three (23), 48.5 rods North of the Southeast corner of the NE 1/4 of SW 1/4; thence North on the East line of said NE 1/4 of SW 1/4 and SE 1/4 of NW 1/4 a distance of 47.5 rods to a point on the East line of the SE 1/4 of NW 1/4 directly opposite the Southeast corner of the tract of land heretofore sold to Iver T. Soderquist and Marie L. Soderquist, his wife, by deed recorded in Book "144" of Deeds on page 484; thence at right angles West and along the South line of the said Iver T. Soderquist tract of land a distance of 17 rods; thence South and parallel with the center line of said Section Five (5) a distance of 47.5 rods to a point directly West of the point of beginning, thence at right angles East a distance of 17 rods to the point of beginning.

AND

That part of Lot Six (6) of Auditor's Subdivision No. Sixty-one (61), Anoka County, Minnesota, lying in the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (SE 1/4 of NW 1/4) of Section Five (5), Township Thirty-two (32) North, Range Twenty-three (23) West, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter 15.00 feet northerly from the Southeast corner of said Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, thence northerly along said east line a distance of 75.00 feet, thence westerly and at right angles to said line a distance of 280.50 feet, thence southerly and parallel to said east line of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter a distance of 75.00 feet, thence easterly and at right angles a distance of 280.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 60.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25,

PARCEL 05-32-23-24-0024

That part of Lot 9, Block 1, Country Creek, Anoka County, Minnesota, lying within the East 70.00 feet of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 60.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-24-0017

That part of Lot 10, Block 1, Country Creek, Anoka County, Minnesota, lying within the East 70.00 feet of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township 32, Range 23, Anoka County, Minnesota.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 60.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-24-0018

That part of Lot 11, Block 1, Country Creek, Anoka County, Minnesota, lying east of the west right-of-way line of the Service Road to State Trunk Highway No. 65, said west right-of-way line being the west line of the area Released from Trunk Highway System per MINNESOTA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25, Anoka County, Minnesota.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 60.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

PARCEL 05-32-23-24-0019

That part of Lot 12, Block 1, Country Creek, Anoka County, Minnesota, lying east of the west right-of-way line of the Service Road to State Trunk Highway No. 65, said west right-of-way line being the west line of the area Released from Trunk Highway System per MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25, Anoka County, Minnesota.

Which lies westerly of a line parallel with and distant 60.00 feet westerly of, as measured at right angles to, the westerly right-of-way line of State Trunk Highway No. 65, as defined by MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MONUMENTATION PLAT NO. 02-M25.

Said hearing is conducted pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 412.851.

Dated: May 8, 2015

/s/ Denise Webster, City Clerk
(Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #182

CITY OF HAM LAKE COUNTY OF ANOKA STATE OF MINNESOTA

The City of Ham Lake obtained a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), which addresses areas to reduce or eliminate pollutants from storm water runoff. An important component of Ham Lake's permit is public education and outreach. Citizens are invited to participate in an annual public meeting and to report concerns or illicit discharges to City staff. This meeting allows citizens an opportunity to give written or oral input on the program. The City must consider these suggestions and make appropriate adjustments to the program when submitting its annual report to the MPCA.

The meeting will be held at 6:01 p.m. June 15, 2015 at the Ham Lake City Council meeting. The public is encouraged to submit written comments/concern on the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan by June 19, 2015 to City Hall, 15544 Central Avenue NE, Ham Lake, Minnesota 55304. A copy of the SWPPP will be available for review at City Hall or from the City web site - www.ci.ham-lake.mn.us under Services and Water/Stormwater. For more information, contact the City at (763) 434-9555. (Published May 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #182

CITY OF HAM LAKE COUNTY OF ANOKA STATE OF MINNESOTA NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the requirements of Minnesota Law, a public hearing shall be held before the Ham Lake Planning Commission on Tuesday, May 26, 2015 at 6:01 p.m. at the City Hall located at 15544 Central Avenue NE for the purpose of considering the following amendments or additions to Article 9 of the Ham Lake City Code.

Adding Public Utilities as a permitted use in Commercial and Industrial zoning districts.

Article 9-380, section (g) of the Ham Lake City Code is hereby repealed.

The first sentence of Article 9-380, section (h) of the Ham Lake City Code is hereby repealed, to be replaced by the following sentence: "So long as the structure comprising the coop is less than 150 square feet or less at the foundation level, no building permit shall be required, and the structure shall not be considered an "accessory building".

There is hereby added to the beginning of Article 9-220.2(a)(i) of the Ham Lake City Code the following phrase: " Except for structures housing public utility feature"

At such hearing both written and oral comments will be heard.

DATED: May 15, 2015 Dawnette M. Shimek Zoning Official/ Building Clerk (Published May 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #182

CITY OF HAM LAKE COUNTY OF ANOKA STATE OF MINNESOTA NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the requirements of Minnesota Law, a public hearing shall be held before the Ham Lake Planning Commission on Tues-

day, May 26, 2015 at 6:01 p.m. at the City Hall located at 15544 Central Avenue NE, for the purpose of considering the application of Mark Koloff requesting an amendment to the Conditional Use Permit to operate Meineke Car Care Center at 13835 Johnson Street NE, such land situated in the City of Ham Lake, Anoka County, Minnesota and which is described as follows to wit:

Lot 2, Block 4, Bunker Lake Commercial Park

At such hearing both written and oral comments will be heard.

DATED: May 15, 2015 Dawnette M. Shimek Zoning Official/ Building Clerk (Published May 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #182

ANOKA COUNTY Public Comment Period Sugar Hills Regional Trail Master Plan

The County of Anoka will be receiving public comments from May 8, 2015 to June 5, 2015 on a change to the proposed master plan for Sugar Hills Regional Trail, which connects the Anoka County regional trail system with the cities of Oak Grove, St. Francis, and Nowthen. The trail is now proposed to generally run east/west along Bridge Street, east/west along Pederson Drive and east/west along Ambassador Boulevard. The remainder of the trail is unchanged and generally follows east/west along Ambassador Boulevard from Pederson Drive, north/south along Nacre St., east/west along Hill N Dale Dr., north/south along Sugarbush Rd., east/west along Norris Lake Rd., and north/south along Nowthen Blvd to Viking Blvd. Construction is proposed along the south side of Bridge Street in 2015. There are no other plans for trail construction at this time.

Copies of the master plan can be obtained by contacting the project manager or visiting www.anokacountyparks.com. Those who have questions or comments may contact the Project Manager: Karen Blaska, Park Planner, Anoka County Parks and Recreation Department, 550 Bunker Lake Blvd. NW, Andover, MN 55304 or via telephone at 763-767-2865 or e-mail at karen.blaska@co.anoka.mn.us. Written comments on the master plan are preferred and may be submitted to the project manager listed above. (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #222

ANOKA COUNTY HRA NOTICE OF PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Notice is hereby given that the Anoka County Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) is offering citizens the opportunity to make comments for 30 days on the proposed amendment to the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2015 Program Year Action Plan.

The ACHRA is proposing the allocation of CDBG project funds to single family rehabilitation for the replacement of individual unit grinder pumps within the City of Bethel. Unallocated 2015 CDBG entitlement funding will be awarded in the amount of \$32,009.85 to the City of Bethel. This project will meet a national objective of low/mod housing.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment, please call Kate Thunstrom, Community Development Manager, at the Anoka County Community Development

Department (763) 323-5714. Comments must be submitted in writing to the Anoka County Community Development Department, Anoka County Government Center, 2100 3rd Avenue, Anoka, MN. 55303-2265. Comments will be accepted until June 15, 2015.

If you need an accommodation, such as an interpreter or printed material in an alternate format (i.e., Braille or large print) because of a disability, please contact the Anoka County Administration office (763) 323-5709. TDD/TTY (763) 323-5289.

Christine Carney Assistant County Attorney Karen Skepper ACHRA Executive Director (Published May 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #224

ANOKA COUNTY BOARD MEETING SUMMARY

The Anoka County Board met on April 28, 2015. Standing county committee reports and action items were considered, and action was taken as necessary. The following resolutions were adopted: #2015-51 Correctional Officers Week Recognition, #2015-52 Licensed Sergeants Agreement, #2015-53 County Highway System Revision, #2015-54 Economic Assistance Payments, #2015-55 Mental Health Month, #2015-56 Tax Forfeit Property Repurchase Cancellation, #2015-57 Peace Officer Week, #2015-58 CDBG and HOME Program, and #2015-59 CDBG and HOME Program. A full copy of the agenda, minutes, accounts, and claims greater than \$2000 may be found on the Anoka County Web site: www.anokacounty.us (Published May 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #226

NOTICE TO CREDITORS AND CLAIMANTS OF PARKER'S FARM, INC.

Parker's Farm, Inc., a Minnesota corporation, hereby gives notice to its creditors and claimants of its impending dissolution. Parker's Farm, Inc., is in the process of dissolving, having filed an Intent to Dissolve with the Minnesota Secretary of State on April 27, 2015. Written claims against the company may be sent to Rick Etrheim, 420-93rd Ave NW, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55433. Claims must be received on or before ninety (90) days following first publication of this notice, or July 30, 2015. (Published May 1, 8, 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #215

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted:

Hicks and Hers Bricks 2. Principal Place of Business: 12469 Fergus Ct NE Blaine, MN 55449

3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Jordon A Hicks 12469 Fergus Ct NE Blaine, MN 55449

I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 8, 2015, #825744100029, /s/ Jordon A Hicks (Published May 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #225

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted:

Aaron Reporting 2. Principal Place of Business: 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Jeanna M Zunker 4250 152nd Ave NW PO Box 64 Andover, MN 55304

4. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as

set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: May 2, 2015, #824969200028, /s/ Jeanna Zunker (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #217

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted:

Minnesota Basement Remodeling 2. Principal Place of Business: 4139 119th Avenue NW, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Clear Construction LLC 4139 119th Avenue NW, Coon Rapids, MN 55433

4. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 30, 2015, #824738300028, /s/ Robert Bialozynski, Owner (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #216

OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE OF ASSUMED NAME MINNESOTA STATUTES, CHAPTER 333

The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing

WILSON PAUL v. ERICKA Y. JAVIS STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF ANOKA TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FILE NO. 02-FA-10-2210 Case Type: Dissolution w/o Children In Re the Marriage of: Wilson Paul, Petitioner vs. Ericka Y. Javis, Respondent

NOTICE OF MOTION AND FIRST AMENDED MOTION FOR AMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT IN JUDGMENT AND DECREE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 16, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the parties may be heard, before the Honorable Douglas B. Meslow, Judge of Anoka County District Court, at the Anoka County Courthouse, 325 East Main Street, Anoka, Minnesota 55303, Petitioner will move the Court for an Order granting the following relief:

1. Pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 60.02(f), amending Finding of Fact No. 7 in the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Order for Judgment, and Judgment and Decree entered by default in the above-captioned case on February 15, 2011 to read in its entirety as follows:

"On or about July 21, 2007, Respondent left and abandoned Petitioner and has not been seen nor heard from since."

This motion is based upon the attached Affidavit of Wilson Paul and all other pleadings and files herein.

All responsive pleadings shall be served and mailed to or filed with the Court Administrator no later than five days prior to the scheduled hearing. The Court may, in its discretion, disregard any responsive pleading served or filed with the Court Administrator less than five days prior to such hearing in ruling on the motion or matter in question.

The undersigned acknowledges that sanctions may be imposed under Minnesota Statutes Section 549.211.

Dated: May 2, 2015 Huberty Law Firm /s/ John M. Huberty, Attorney for Petitioner, #0320274 316 East Main Street #115 Anoka, MN 55303 (763) 427-7800 (Published May 8, 15, 22, 2015, Anoka County Record) #219

is required for consumer protection in order to enable consumers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

1. List the exact assumed name under which the business is or will be conducted:

Head Hunter's Salon 2. Principal Place of Business: 12685 Riverdale Blvd. Suite 29 Coon Rapids, MN 55448

3. List the name and complete street address of all persons conducting business under the above Assumed Name, OR if an entity, provide the legal corporate, LLC, or Limited Partnership name and registered office address: Sharilyn Halstead 18361 Gladiola St NW Oak Grove, MN 55011 Danaye Andrus 608 Park Brook Rd Isanti, MN 55040

4. I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

FILED: April 23, 2015, #823301900032, /s/ Sharilyn Halstead, Salon Owner (Published May 8, 15, 2015, Anoka County Record) #218



MCAN

Minnesota Classified Advertising Network



EMPLOYMENT

EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY: Seeking school psychologist or intern in northeastern South Dakota for 2015-2016 year. Open until filled. For more info visit www.northeastcoop.org or call 605/783-3607

RAILROAD VEGETATION CONTROL: Full-time traveling opportunity, 60-80 hours/week, \$11-\$15/hour, meal allowance, paid lodging & benefit package. RAW, Inc. in Cooperstown, ND - 888/700-0292 | www.rawapplicators.com info@rawapplicators.com

HELP WANTED - DRIVERS

DRIVER TRAINEES Paid CDL Training! Become a new driver for Stevens Transport! No experience needed! Earn \$800 per week! Stevens will cover all costs! 888/528-8864 drive4stevens.com

NOW HIRING

Company OTR drivers. \$2,000 sign on bonus, flexible home time, extensive benefits. Call now! Hibb's & Co. 763/389-0610

LINSMEIER TRUCKING

A MN based company is now hiring Owner/Operators to pull hopper bottom in the upper Midwest. Home weekends. Call 320/382-6644

SAVE MONEY!

Call your local newspaper or MNA 800-279-2979 to find out how you can save money by placing your advertisement here!

HELP WANTED

BLEYHL FARM SERVICE Grandview WA is seeking a qualified CEO/General Manager. This is a very successful retail ag supply, bulk and retail energy, and agronomy cooperative with sales of \$90 million with five retail locations. Financial and personal management experience is required. Apply to: http://tinyurl.com/ojeq8wj Questions, email: larry.fuller@chsinc.com or call (701/220-9775)

ROARING FORK VALLEY

Cooperative, Carbondale CO is seeking a qualified General Manager. This is a successful retail/bulk and retail energy, farm retail and agronomy cooperative with sales of \$20 million. Financial and personal management experience is required. Apply online: http://tinyurl.com/on354wj Dave Lemmon, 320/219-0270 or David.lemmon@chsinc.com

NEW WEIGHTLOSS

and Fitness Company. Seeking Local Sales Executive! Minneapolis Based. Needed ASAP. Experience good but not required. 17k/PT, 63k/FT. Call or Text 612/840-1869

FOREMEN TO LEAD

utility field crews. Outdoor physical work, many positions, paid training, \$20/hr plus weekly performance bonuses after promotion, living allowance when traveling, company truck and benefits. Must have strong leadership skills, good driving history, and able to travel in Minnesota and nearby States. Email resume to Recruiter6@osmose.com or apply online at www.OsmoseUtilities.com EOE M/F/D/V

EDUCATION

MEDICAL BILLING TRAINEES Needed. Train at home to process medical billing & insurance claims! No experience needed! Online training at Bryan University! HS diploma/GED & computer/Internet needed 877/259-3880

MISCELLANEOUS

GOT KNEE PAIN? Back Pain? Shoulder Pain? Get a pain-relieving brace -little or no cost to you. Medicare patients call health hotline now! 800/755-6807

STOP OVERPAYING FOR YOUR PRESCRIPTIONS!

Save up to 93%! Call our licensed Canadian and International pharmacy service to compare prices and get \$15.00 off your first prescription and free Shipping. Call 800/259-1096

DONATE YOUR CAR

truck or boat to Heritage For The Blind. Free 3 day vacation, tax deductible, free towing, all paperwork taken care of 800/439-1735

DO YOU OWE

over \$10,000 to the IRS or State in back taxes? You could get a settlement for as low as 25% of previous IRS settlements. Call now! 800/558-0486

DISH NETWORK

Get more for less! Starting \$19.99/month (for 12 months), plus Bundle & save (Fast Internet for \$15 more/month.) Call Now 800/297-8706

ONLY \$279 to reach a statewide audience of 3 million readers!!! 1-800-279-2979